



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/276,716	03/26/1999	MASATOSHI YOKOTA	0020-4539P	2499	
2292	7590 04/22/2003				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		BUTTNER, DAVID J			
FALLS CHU	RCH, VA 22040-0747		BOTTNER, DAVID I		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	21	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·			$-\alpha$		
	Application No.	plicant(s)			
	09/276,716	YOKOTA, MASA	тоѕні		
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Buttner	1712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute	I36(a). In no event, howeve ly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this			
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03.	April 2003 .				
	nis action is non-fina	l.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			the merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in the app	lication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considerati	on.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-15</u> is/are rejected.		ţ			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	ent.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		to hother Francisco			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		,	ner.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 l	LS C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under 00 c	1.0.0. 3 110(a) (a) of (i).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been receive	ed.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		,			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	ority documents have ireau (PCT Rule 17.	e been received in this Nationa 2(a)).	l Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 (	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).		
<ul> <li>a)          The translation of the foreign language pro     </li> <li>15)          Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest     </li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)		,			
)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No otice of Informal Patent Application (P <sup>-</sup> her:			
Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/276,716

Art Unit: 1712

The examiner relied on applicant's statement (page 4 of amendment C 11/26/01) that the change to claim 1 merely incorporated the elements of previous claim 2. The examiner therefore, did not reject the inserted phrase as being unclear in the subsequent Office action. The examiner recognized later that the phrase added to claim 1 was not representative of previous claim 2. "With the range of 5" was used instead of "within the range of 5".

In spite of the fact applicant's comment (at least in part) led to the omission of the 112 rejection in the Office action of 2/28/02, the examiner elects to withdraw the finality of the last action.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claims 1 and 3-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Moriyama '856 Patent in view of Sullivan '356.

Moriyama discloses golf balls having the same core used by applicant (examples 1-3). Moriyama's core can have two covers (col. 4, lines 24-29). Moriyama does not provide details of the dual cover.

Dual covered balls having a hard, highly filled inner covers and soft outer cover are known to be advantageous (see Sullivan's abstract; claim 33; col. 41 lines 48-54). Sullivan's inner cover preferably has a Shore D of 70 (col. 32, line 9)



Art Unit: 1712

and a thickness of 0.075 inches (col. 31, line 38). The outer cover preferably has a Shore D of 56 (col. 33, lines 26).

It would have been obvious to ensure Moriyama's dual cover has the Shore D and density characteristics taught by Sullivan for the expected advantages.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/3/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Sullivan's dual cover has thicker intermediate layers than claimed by applicant. Furthermore, applicant provides a table (page 10 of paper # 20) indicating the 0.01 - 0.20 inch thickness of Sullivan converts to 2.54 - 50.8 mm.

This conversion is not accurate. One millimeter equals 0.039 inches. The true thickness range for Sullivan is 0.26 - 5.13 mm. This envelops applicant's range.

The Moriyama '802/ Tanaka '663 rejection is withdrawn as neither include the sulfide.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

Application/Control Number: 09/276,716

Art Unit: 1712

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is 703-308-2403. The examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays from 10:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Page 5

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

D. Buttner/mn April 21, 2003

David Butter